* * * * * * * * * *

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION)
FOR BENEFICIAL WATER USE PERMIT) NOTICE OF CORRECTION
NO. 20486-S41F BY DONALD A. &)
JOY D. POGLE)

On April 25, 1984 the Department of Natural Resources and Conservation issued a Final Order in the above-entitled matter. The Final Order contains a typographical error which is hereby corrected as follows:

(Page 3) in the middle paragraph, the first sentence shall read; "Wherefore, Application for Beneficial Water Use Permit No. 20486-s41F is hereby granted to Donald A. and Joy D. Fogle, jointly, to appropriate 2.41 cubic feet per second up to 96.4 acre-feet per year for irrigation of 40 acres, more or less, located in the SE% of Section 18, Township, 7 South, Range 1 West, all in Madison County."

The Final Order in this matter is hereby amended to conform to the above specified correction.

DONE this 15 day of June, 1984.

Gary Fritz, Administrator
Water Resources Division
Department of Natural Resources
and Conservation
32 South Ewing, Helena, MT 59620
(406) 444 - 6605

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE NOTICE OF CORRECTION

STATE OF)	ss.	
County o	f Lewis & Clark	150	• •

Donna K. Elser, an employee of the Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation, being duly sworn on oath, deposes and says that on Application by DON & JOY FOGLE, Application No. 20486-s41F, for an Application for Beneficial Water Use Permit, addressed to each of the following persons or agencies:

- Donald A. Fogle or Joy D. Fogle, Route 2, Box 178, Ennis, MT 59729
- Montana Department of Fish, Wildlife & Parks, 1420 East 6th Avenue, Helena, MT 59620
- 3. Bureau of Reclamation, P.O. Box 2553, Billings, MT 59103
- 4. US Dept. of Interior, P.O. Box 1538, Billings, MT 59103
- 5. Montana Power Co., 40 East Broadway, Butte, MT 59701
- K. Paul Stahl, Attorney, 301 First National Bank Bldg., P.O. Box 1715, Helena, MT 59624
- 7. T. J. Reynolds, Helena Field Office (inter-departmental mail)
- 8. Gary Fritz, Administrator, Water Resources (hand deliver)

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES AND CONSERVATION

by Mant. State

STATE OF MONTANA) ss. County of Lewis & Clark)

On this 1940 day of June, 1984, before me, a Notary Public in and for said state, personally appeared Donna Elser, known to me to be the Hearings Recorder of the Department that executed this instrument or the persons who executed the instrument on behalf of said Department, and acknowledged to me that such Department executed the same.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my official seal, the day and year in this certificate first above written.

Notary Public for the State of Montana Residing at Montana Chy , Montana My Commission expires 13-1-55

demonstrates that the present objections state a valid basis for denial or modification of the instant application.

DONE this 24th day of April. 1984.

Gary Fritz, Administrator
Water Resources Division
Department of Natural Resources
and Conservation
32 South Ewing, Helena, MT 59620
(406) 444 - 6605

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION)
FOR BENEFICIAL WATER USE PERMIT)
NO. 20486-s41F BY DONALD A. AND JOY)
D. FOGLE)

FINAL ORDER

The instant objections are controlled by a series of orders initiated by In re Brown, Dept. Order, 4/83. We do not suppose that the legislature intended that hearings embracing the reception of evidence be held where there is no factual dispute. Moreover, to deal with similarly situated applicants in dissimilar ways would be arbitrary and capricious, MCA 2-4-704(2)(f), unless there be a reasonable basis for differing treatment. Here we found no such basis, and as a matter of law, the instant objections state no cognizable claim. See generally, Intermountain Telephone & Power Co. v. Mid-Rivers Telephone. Inc., ____ Mont. ____. 39 St. Rep. 2226(1982), Adams v. Califano, 552 F. 2d 1 (1st Cir. 1977); Sampson v. Califano, 551 F. 2d 881 (1st Cir. 1977); Ruiz-Olan v. Secretary, Dept. of Health, Education and Welfare, 511 F. 2d 1056 (1st Cir. 1975), Cooper v. NTSD, 546 F. 2d 870 (10th Cir. 1976), Michigan Wisconsin Pipe Line Co. v. FPC, 520 F. 2d 84 (D.C. Cir. 1975), NLRB v. J.C. Penney Co., 559 F. 2d 373 (5th Cir. 1977), Indiana Harbor Belt RR. Co. v. General Am. Transportation Corp., 577 F. 2d 394 (7th Cir. 1978), Hilt Truck Line, Inc. v. United States, 548 F. 2d 214 (7th Cir. 1977) NRLB v. West Sand & Gravel Co., 612 F. 2d 1326 (6th Cir. 1979).

Montana Power Company also argues that such former dispositions can at most only be dispositive on the issues of "unappropriated water" and "adverse effect to prior appropriators." See MCA 85-2-311. Montana Power Company is correct. Beneficial use and adequacy of the diversion means are "site specific" to each Applicant. However, the instant objections do not appear to embrace these latter issues, nor do they "state facts" tending to show the absence of the same. See MCA 85-2-308(2).

More basically, we do not understand that an objector has any vested interest in such determinations if in fact and law there is no adverse effect to the water rights that are the focus on his claim. See generally, Carlson v. Helena, 39 Mont. 82, 102 P. 39(1909), Holmstrom Land Co. v. Meagher County Newlan Creek Water Dist., 36 St. Rep. 1403, 605 P. 2d 1060(1979), Horse Creek Conservation Dist. v. Lincoln Land Co., 54 Wyo. 320, 92 P. 2d 572(1939), Affolter v. Rough and Ready Irrigating Ditch Co., 60 Colo. 519, 154 P. 738(1916). This application states a purpose within the range of use that are ordinarily to be regarded as beneficial MCA 85-2-102(2), and whatever the measure of appropriation state on the permit, this Permittee may use no more water than is needed at any particular time. See Tucker v. Missoula Light & Water Co., 77 Mont. 91, 250 P. 11(1926) See also Ouigley v. McIntosh, 110 Mont. 495, 102 P. 2d 1067(1940). While we appreciate Montana Power Company's invitation to perform as a private attorney general in these proceedings, we think that it is not too much to ask for some colorable claim. Particularly is this so when by our own procedures Department personnel inspect each application for devotion to the statutory criteria, and do not file an objection on their own behalf, see MCA 85-2-310(2), and where there are no other persons claiming a hearing as of right. Mistakes may be made, but even if they are not corrected at the certificate stage, MCA 85-2-315, they form no lasting prejudice.

WHEREFORE, Application for Beneficial Water Use Permit No. 20486-s4lf is hereby granted to Donald A. and Joy D. Fogle, jointly, to appropriate 2.4l cfs up to 96.4 acre-feet per year for the irrigation of 40 acres more or less located in the SEI/4 of Section 18, Township 7 North, Range 1 West, all in Madison County. In no event shall the waters provided for herein be diverted prior to April 1 of any given year nor subsequent to October 31 of any given year. The source of supply shall be Blaine Spring Creek, a tributary of the Madison River, the waters thereof to be diverted at a point in the EI/2 EI/2 EI/2 of the SWI/4 SEI/4 of Section 18, Township 7 South, Range 1 West, in Madison County. The priority date for this Permit shall be October 2, 1978, at 2:18 p.m.

This Permit is subject to the following express conditions, limitations, and restrictions.

A. Any rights evidenced herein are subject to all prior and existing rights, and to any final determination of such rights as provided by Montana law. Nothing herein shall be construed to authorize the Permittees to divert water to the detriment of any senior appropriator.

- B. In no event shall the Permittees cause to be diverted from the source of supply more water than is reasonably required for the purposes provided for herein.
- c. Nothing herein shall be construed to affect or otherwise reduce the Permittees' liability for damages which may be caused by the exercise of this Permit.

NOTICE

The Department's Final Order may be appealed in accordance with the Montana Administrative Procedures Act by filing a petition in the appropriate court within thirty (30) days after service of the Final Order.

DONE this 25 day of April, 1984.

Gary Fritz, Administrator Department of Natural Resources and Conservation 32 S. Ewing, Helena, MT (406) 444 - 6605 Matt Williams, Hearing Examiner Department of Natural Resources and Conservation

32 S. Ewing, Helena, MT 59620 (406) 444 - 6704

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE FINAL ORDER

STATE (OF I	MONTAN	Ą)	
85	127)	SS
County	of	Lewis	&	Clark)	

Donna K. Elser, an employee of the Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation, being duly sworn on oath, deposes and says that on aright, 1984, she deposited in the United States mail, Contague mail, an order by the Department on the Application by DON & JOY FOGLE, Application No. 20486-541F, for an Application for Beneficial Water Use Permit, addressed to each of the following persons or agencies:

- Donald A. Fogle or Joy D. Fogle, Route 2, Box 178, Ennis, MT 59729
- Montana Department of Fish, Wildlife & Parks, 1420 East 6th 2. Avenue, Helena, MT 59620
- Bureau of Reclamation, P.O. Box 2553, Billings, MT 59103
- 4. US Dept. of Interior, P.O. box 1538, Billings, MT 59103
- Montana Power Co., 40 East Broadway, Butte, MT 59701
- K. Paul Stahl, Attorney, 301 First National Bank Bldg., P.O. Box 1715, Helena, MT 59624 Hand delever
- T.J. Reynolds, Helena Field Office (inter-departmental mail) 7.
- Gary Fritz, Administrator, Water Resources (hand deliver) 8.

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES AND CONSERVATION by Clauna 5. Sleer

STATE OF MONTANA

) ss.

County of Lewis & Clark)

On this 25th day of 0, 1984, before me, a Notary Public in and for said state, personally appeared Donna Elser, known to me to be the Hearings Recorder of the Department that executed this instrument or the persons who executed the instrument on behalf of said Department, and acknowledged to me that such Department_ executed the same.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my official seal, the day and year in this certificate first above

> Notary Public for the State of Montana Residing at Helena Montana My Commission expires 1/21/1987

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION)
FOR BENEFICIAL WATER USE PERMIT) ORDER
NO. 20486 BY DONALD A. AND JOY D)
FOGLE)

The objections filed with the Department of Natural Resources and Conservation to the above-named application by the Montana Power Company and the Bureau of Reclamation are identical in language to a number of objections previously filed by these entities with respect to similar applications. These objections all claim generally that there is a lack of unappropriated water available for the applicants' purposes, and that diversions made pursuant to these applicants' plans would reult in adverse affect to the water rights claimed by these entities. See MCA 85-2-311(1) and (2)(1981).

No claim is made either expressly or by implication in the present objections that the Applicant's proposed use is not a beneficial one, or that the Applicant's proposed means of diversion are not adequate for his purposes. See MCA 85-2-311(3) and (4). Nor has the Department in its own benalf indicated any concerns for the existence of these statutory criteria for a new water use permit. See generally MCA 85-2-310(2) (1981).

Commencing with the Proposal for Decision In re Brown, and continuing through a number of applications where the Bureau of Reclamation and Montana Power Company presented evidence at hearings held pursuant thereto, this Hearings Examiner has concluded that the scope and extent of these entities' rights to the use of the water resource as indicated by the evidence therein did not warrant denial of the respective applications for new water use permits. Since the instant objections allege similar matters to those involved in prior hearings, hearings on the factual issues suggested by the present controversy threaten a waste of time and undue time and expense to the parties involved. See generally, MCA 2-4-611(3) (1981); MCA 85-2-309 (1982).

WHEREFORE, the Montana Power Company and the Bureau of Reclamation are hereby directed to show cause why their objections should not be stricken and the instant application approved according to the terms thereof. Said objectors shall file with the Department no later than August 9, 1982, affidavits and/or other documentation demonstrating that the present Applicant is not similarly situated with respect to prior applicants for which permits have been proposed over these Objectors' objections; and/or offers of proof as to matters not presented in prior hearings, which matters compel different results herein; and/or argument that the proposed dispositions in such prior matters were afflicted by error of law or were otherwise improper; and/or any other matter that demonstrates

that the present objections state a valid basis for denial or modification of the instant application.

day of July, 1982,

Matthew Williams, Hearing Examiner Department of Natural Resources and Conservation

32 S. Ewing, Helena, MT

449 - 3962

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

STATE OF MONTANA

ss.

County of Lewis and Clark

Beverly J. Jones, an employee of the Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation, being duly sworn on oath, deposes and says: That she deposited in the United States mail, certified mail, an Order by the Department on the Application by Don & Joy Fogle, Application No. 20486, for a Permit to Appropriate Water, addressed to each of the following persons or agencies:

- 1. Donald A. Fogle or joy D. Fogle, Route 2, Box 178, Ennis, MT 59729
- 2. Montana Power Company, 40 E. Broadway, Butte, MT 59701
- 3. Gough, Shanahan, Johnson & Waterman, Box 1715, Helena, MT 59624
- 4. Bureau of Reclamation, P. O. Box 2553, Billings, MT 59103
- 5. Department of Natural Resources and Conservation Field Office (inter-department mail)
- 6. Matt Williams, hearing Examiner, DNRC, Helena (hand deliver)
- 7. Mt. Department of Fish, Wildlife & Parks

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES AND CONSERVATION

by Breverly Jones

STATE OF MONTANA

) ss.


County of Lewis & Clark

On this 19th day of July, 1982, before me, a Notary Public in and for said state, personally appeared Beverly J. Jones, known to me to be the Hearing Recorder of the Department that executed this instrument or the persons who executed the instrument on behalf of said Department, and acknowledged to me that such Department executed the same.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my official seal, the day and year in this certificate first above

written.

Notary Public for the State of Montana Residing at Montana City, Montana My Commission Expires 3/1/85



IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION

FOR BENEFICIAL WATER USE PERMIT

NO. 22423-s41G BY GENE AND JUDY

COLLINS

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

* * * * * * * * *

The objections filed with the Department of Natural Resources and Conservation by the Montana Power Company and the Bureau of Reclamation to the above-named application are identical in language to a number of objections previously filed by these entities with respect to similar applications. These objections all claim generally that there is a lack of unappropriated water available for the applicants' purposes, and that diversions made pursuant to these applicants' plans would result in adverse affect to the water rights claimed by these entities. See MCA 85-2-311(1a) and (1b).

No claim is made either expressly or by implication in the present objections that the Applicant's proposed use is not a beneficial one, or that the Applicant's proposed means of diversion are not adequate for his purposes. See MCA 85-2-311(1d) and (1c). Nor has the Department in its own behalf indicated any concerns for the existence of these statutory criteria for a new water use permit. See generally, MCA 85-2-310(2).

Commencing with the Proposal for Decision In re Brown, and continuing through a number of applications where the Bureau of Reclamation and Montana Power Company presented evidence at hearings held pursuant thereto, the Department of Natural Resources and Conservation has concluded that the scope and extent of these entities' rights to the use of the water resource as indicated by the evidence therein did not warrant denial of the respective applications for new water use permits. Since the instant objections allege similar matters to those involved in prior hearings, hearings on the factual issues suggested by the present controversy threaten a waste of time and undue time and expense to the parties involved. See generally, MCA 2-4-611(3); MCA 85-2-309.

WHEREFORE, the Montana Power Gompany and the Bureau of Reclamation are hereby directed to show cause why their objections should not be stricken and the instant application approved according to the terms thereof. Said Objectors shall file with the Department within 20 days of the service of this Order, affidavits and/or other documentation demonstrating that the present Applicant is not similarly situated with respect to prior applicants for whom permits have been proposed over these Objectors' objections; and/or argument that the proposed dispositions in such prior matters were afflicted by error of law or were otherwise improper; and/or any other matter that

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

STATE OF MONTANA)	
)	SS
County of Lewis & Clark)	

Donna K. Elser, an employee of the Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation, being duly sworn on oath, deposes and says that on 1984, she deposited in the United mail, an order by the Department on the Application by Gene and Judy Collins, Application No. mail, an order by the Department 22423-s41G,, for an Application for Beneficial Water Use Permit, addressed to each of the following persons or agencies:

- Gene and Judy Collins, R.R. #1, Box 1202, Whitehall, Montana 59759
- Montana Power Company, 40 E. Broadway, Butte, Montana 59701 2.
- Bureau of Reclamation, P O Box 2553, Billings, Montana 59103 4. K. Paul Stahl, P O Box 1715, Helena, Montana 59624 (hand deliver)
 5. Scott Compton, Bozeman Field Office (inter-department mail)
- 6. Matt Williams, Hearing Examiner (hand deliver)

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES AND CONSERVATION

by / Laura L. Klau

STATE OF MONTANA

) ss.

County of Lewis & Clark)

On this 24th day of April, 1984, before me, a Notary Public in and for said state, personally appeared Donna Elser, known to me to be the Hearings Recorder of the Department that executed this instrument or the persons who executed the instrument on behalf of said Department, and acknowledged to me that such Department executed the same.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my official seal, the day and year in this certificate first above

written.

Notary Public for the State of Montana Residing at Montana My Commission expires 3-1-85